

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/667,331	09/23/2003	Terunao Hanaoka	117267	1647
25944 7:	590 05/19/2005		EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			LINDSAY JR, WALTER LEE	
P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2812	TAL DR NOMBER

DATE MAILED: 05/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		H'S	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Common	10/667,331	HANAOKA, TERUNAO	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Walter L. Lindsay, Jr.	2812	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a in - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a replicely within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH tute, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	,		
1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) □ This action is FINAL.	his action is non-final. vance except for formal matters	•	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>18-20</u> is/are withdrest 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-17</u> is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the correct	ccepted or b) objected to by he drawing(s) be held in abeyance ection is required if the drawing(s)	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in App riority documents have been re eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/C Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/17/2004</u>. 	Paper No(s)/N	nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date Imal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/667,331 Page 2

Art Unit: 2812

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to an Election filed on 3/4/2005.

Currently, claims 1-17 are pending. Claims 18-20 are withdrawn from consideration.

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Claims 18-20 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected device, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 3/4/2005.
- 2. Applicant's election with traverse of claims 1-17 in the reply filed on 3/4/2005 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the device claims wouldn't constitute another search. This is not found persuasive because the device of claim 18 does not have to be made by the same method as described in claim 1 for the device to be made.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Specification

3. The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/667,331 Page 3

Art Unit: 2812

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 5. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 6. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hashimoto (U.S. Patent No. 6,3333,565 filed 12/25/2001) in view of.

Hashimoto shows the method substantially as claimed in Fig. 1 and corresponding text as: forming a wiring pattern (18) over a semiconductor wafer (12), in which an interconnect (14) is formed from an integrated circuit (10), from a pad which is a part of the interconnect, and forming an external terminal (26) on the wiring pattern (col. 5, line 44-col. 6, line 14); forming a resin layer (11) on the semiconductor wafer (col. 5, line 44-col. 6, line 14); forming a mask layer (16) having an opening pattern on the resin layer (col. 5, line 44-col. 6, line 14); and removing a part of the resin layer in a state in which the mask layer is disposed on the resin layer to form an opening in the resin layer (col. 5, line 44-col. 6, line 14) (claim 1). Hashimoto teaches that the mask layer is formed of a resin (col. 6, lines 15-25) (claim 2). Hashimoto teaches that the

a part of the resin layer is removed by sandblasting or etching (col. 5, line 44-col. 6, line 14).

Hashimoto lacks anticipation only in not explicitly teaching: 1) cutting the semiconductor wafer along the opening.

Heo teaches a method of cutting a substrate to a semiconductor package. Heo shows in Fig. 3G the cutting circuit board sheet (20) (col. 4, lines 33-46). The object of this is to provide a semiconductor package, which realizes a small-size semiconductor package without performance deterioration, to meet a tendency to miniaturization of electronic products (col. 2, lines 21-31).

It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to modify the method of Hashimoto by cutting the semiconductor wafer along the opening, as taught by Heo, with the motivation that Heo teaches a semiconductor package, which realizes a small-size semiconductor package without performance deterioration, to meet a tendency to miniaturization of electronic products.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 5-17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Walter L. Lindsay, Jr. whose telephone number is (571) 272-1674. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael S. Lebentritt can be reached on (571) 272-1873. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Walter L. Lindsay, Jr. Examiner
Art Unit 2812

WLL / www. May 12, 2005